

MEANINGFUL SERVICE

Linda Fredericks and Shelley H. Billig, RMC Research Corporation, 2008

What Is Meaningful Service?

Meaningful service provides a sense of purpose, connection, relevance, and usefulness. The Alliance for Service-Learning in Education Reform (1995) defined a “meaningful contribution” as one that fills a recognized need in the community, is appropriate to the age of the students involved, results in a tangible or visible outcome or product, and demonstrates learning outcomes. In service-learning, all activities should have personal relevance for participants, and they should be appropriate to the ages and developmental abilities of the young people who are involved. Activities should be designed to be engaging and interesting, and should encourage participants to understand the social context in which their service is taking place.

Application to Service-Learning

- Melaville, Berg, and Blank (n.d.) pointed out that community-based learning helps students to draw on their prior knowledge, giving them “structured opportunities and tools for physically exploring their communities and interacting with many kinds of local experts” (p. 11).
- Root and Billig (in press) discovered that students found meaning in their service when they interacted with individuals faced with personal difficulties, confronting examples of injustice, or encountering inefficient policies. These types of interactions seemed to help students invest in an issue emotionally and move from an egocentric to a more sociocentric perspective on the world.
- In his study of 529 high school students who took part in high quality community service, service-learning, or service-based internship programs, Furco (2002) found that the students who were most strongly influenced by their service experiences were engaged in meaningful service activities that challenged them to some degree and/or ones in which they had responsibility and interest. The students’ sense of engagement was enhanced when they felt that they were being treated like adults or were treated with respect by members of the community. When students were challenged to take on adult roles, they showed greater willingness to meet the challenges and show teachers, service partners, peers, and themselves that they could do the job well. Conversely, when students were involved in service activities that they described as “useless,” “meaningless,” “boring,” or “pointless” (p. 43), their feelings of empowerment were very low. Student outcomes were greatest when students had some degree of control over the service activities, perceived that they were making a difference, and had a commitment to the cause that their service activities were designed to address. Students also experienced improved outcomes when program organizers paid attention to the quality of the relationships that were being developed among students, between students and teachers, and between students and community agency representatives.
- In a study of more than 1,400 high school students, Billig, Root, and Jesse (2005) found that service that was perceived as meaningful prompted students to be more committed to their service-learning project and acquire greater knowledge and skills. Meaningfulness was related to students making important decisions, developing their own ideas for projects, feeling that they had made a contribution, and experiencing challenge.
- A study by Catalano, Haggerty, Oesterle, Fleming, and Hawkins (2004) showed that participation in communities helped students develop a greater sense of efficacy and stronger connections to the community norms and values, thereby contributing to community cohesion. Outcomes were maximized when students interacted with others, developed skills, and felt rewarded upon project completion.
- Berman wrote (as cited in Kessler, 2000), “Young people are continually negotiating a sense of meaning, place, and commitment” (p. 69). He stated that young people are always asking questions such as, “Do I

have a meaningful place in the social and political world? Are there values that I can make a commitment to and people I can stand with? Am I capable of contributing something useful to others that they will welcome and appreciate?” (p. 69). Young people must turn their aspirations into action in order to find their own answers to these questions.

- An analysis of three national studies on service-learning (Melchior & Bailis, 2002) supported this assertion. The authors wrote: “Most young people begin with a fairly well-developed sense of civic responsibility” (p. 211) and service-learning efforts “might best be understood as strengthening or reinforcing students’ generally positive set of attitudes rather than building a positive set of attitudes from scratch” (p. 211).
- Hart (2007) found that students in an Explorers Club that utilized service-learning to teach literacy were both more engaged and more focused on understanding literacy concepts when they could see their relevance to the service project.
- Youniss, McLellan, Su, and Yates (1999) studied inner-city African American youth and showed stronger positive outcomes when the service activity took place within their own communities and solved meaningful problems within the community.

Educational Research Supporting This Concept

- Pearce (1992) described the process of making meaning as an essential but often overlooked part of young people’s emotional growth (p. 190). Unfolding sense of meaning occurs in three stages. First, beginning around age 11, youth experience “an idealistic image of life” that becomes more palpable as they move into adolescence. Second, around age 14 or 15, young people feel strongly that “something tremendous is supposed to happen.” Finally, adolescents “sense a secret, unique greatness in themselves that seeks expression.” To fulfill these yearnings, young people search for a vehicle through which they can express their unique gifts and a person who can provide a strong role model for accomplishing this mission. Pearce warned that if these deep, developmentally motivated yearnings are not fulfilled, hope and idealism can easily give way to cynicism and despair.
- Dewey (1938) said that learning itself is a constant process of making meaning of the world and one’s individual experiences within social contexts. He believed that all personal development occurred when initial desires and instincts are tempered by learning experiences and shaped into more purposeful and conscious actions.
- Ravitz and Becker (n.d.) defined “meaningful thinking” tasks as having students: work on tasks with no indisputably correct answer, suggest or help plan classroom activities or topics, debate and argue a point of view sometimes different from their own, represent the same idea/relationship in more than one way, make conjectures about what they might learn, and/or lead a discussion or presentation for more than one hour. Meaningful tasks were also facilitated by teachers who raised unanswered questions, elicited student ideas and opinions, asked students to justify or explain their reasoning, and asked students to relate the work to their own experiences. Working in small groups to come up with a joint solution, writing an essay explaining one’s thoughts, and assessing one’s own work on an assignment were also considered meaningful cognitive tasks.
- The National Research Council’s (2003) summary of the research on schools that engage students similarly discussed the need to make teaching and learning relevant to the students’ experiences, cultures, and long-term goals.
- Dewey (1933) pointed out that four factors were necessary for a project to be educative; that is, the project must generate interest; have intrinsic worth; present problems that stimulate curiosity and create a demand for learning; and cover a considerable time span, being capable of fostering development over time.

- In a study of literacy programs, Guthrie, Anderson, Alao, and Rinehart (1999) found that connecting literacy instruction to real-world interactions led to better comprehension since abstract concepts were translated into concrete experiences.

References

- Alliance for Service-Learning in Education Reform. (1995). *Standards of quality for school-based and community-based service-learning*. Alexandria, VA: Author.
- Billig, S. H., Root, S., & Jesse, D. (2005). The relationship between the quality indicators of service-learning and student outcomes: Testing professional wisdom. In S. Root, J. Callahan, & S. H. Billig (Eds.), *Improving service-learning practice: Research on models to enhance impact* (pp. 97–115). Greenwich, CT: Information Age.
- Catalano, R. F., Haggerty, K. P., Oesterle, S., Fleming, C. B., & Hawkins, J. D. (2004). The importance of bonding to school for healthy development: Findings from the Social Development Research Group. *Journal of School Health, 74*(7), 252–261.
- Dewey, J. (1933). *School and society* (2nd ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Dewey, J. (1938). *Experience and education*. New York: Collier Books.
- Furco, A. (2002). Is service-learning really better than community service? A study of high school service program outcomes. In A. Furco & S. H. Billig (Eds.), *Advances in service-learning research: Vol. I. Service-learning: The essence of the pedagogy* (pp. 23–50). Greenwich, CT: Information Age.
- Guthrie, J., Anderson, E., Alao, S., & Rinehart, J. (1999). Influences of concept-oriented reading instruction on strategy use and conceptual learning from text. *Elementary School Journal, 99*(4), 343–366.
- Hart, S. (2007). Service-learning and literacy motivation: Setting a research agenda. In S. Gelmon & S. Billig (Eds.), *Service-learning from passion to objectivity: International and cross-disciplinary perspectives on service-learning research* (pp. 135–156). Charlotte, NC: Information Age.
- Kessler, R. (2000). *The soul of education: Helping students find connection, compassion, and character at school*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Melaville, A., Berg, A. C., & Blank, M. J. (n.d.). Community-based learning: Engaging students for success and citizenship. Washington, DC: Coalition for Community Schools. Retrieved May 29, 2007, from <http://www.communityschools.org/CCSDocuments/CBLFinal.pdf>
- Melchior, A. & Bailis, L. N. (2002). Impact of service-learning on civic attitudes and behaviors of middle and high school youth: Findings from three national evaluations. In A. Furco & S. H. Billig (Eds.), *Advances in service-learning research: Vol. I. Service-learning: The essence of the pedagogy* (pp. 201–222). Greenwich, CT: Information Age.
- National Research Council (2003). *Engaging schools, engaging students*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Pearce, J. C. (1992). *Evolution's end: Claiming the potential of our intelligence*. San Francisco: HarperCollins.
- Ravitz, J. L., & Becker, H. (n.d.). *When does project-based teaching lead to cognitive challenge? Data from the TLC 1998 national survey*. Retrieved May 29, 2007, from <http://www.crito.uci.edu/tlc/findings/conferences-pdf/autodesk.pdf>

Root, S., & Billig, S. H. (in press). Service-learning as a promising approach to high school civic engagement. In J. Bixby & J. Pace (Eds.), *Educating democratic citizens in troubled times: Qualitative studies of current efforts*. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.

Youniss, J., McLellan, J., Su, A., & Yates, M. (1999). The role of community service in identity development: Normative, unconventional, and deviant orientations. *Journal of Adolescent Research, 14*(2), 248-261.